

ORACLE®

Optional

The Mother of all Bikesheds

Stuart Marks
Core Libraries
Java Platform Group, Oracle

Java
Your
Next
(Cloud)



Optional – The Mother of all Bikesheds

- What is Optional, and why is it useful?
- How to use Optional
- Use, Abstruse Use, and Abuse
- Bikeshedding
- Summary

What Is Optional?

And why is it useful?



Optional

- Optional<T> introduced in Java 8
- Can be in one of two states:
 - contains a non-null reference to a T *also called “present”*
 - is empty *also called “absent” (don’t say “null”)*
- Primitive specializations
 - OptionalInt, OptionalLong, OptionalDouble
- Optional itself is a reference type, and can be null – DON'T

Rule #1: Never, ever, use null for an Optional variable or return value.

Why is Optional Useful?

```
// Consider searching List<Customer> for a Customer with a particular ID.  
// Early draft API: Stream.search(Predicate)
```

```
Customer customerByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    return custList.stream()  
        .search(c -> c.getID() == custID);  
}
```

```
// What if there is no element in the stream matches the predicate?  
// Presumably search() returns null.  
// customerByID() would then return null.
```

Why is Optional Useful?

```
// Consider searching List<Customer> for a Customer with a particular ID,  
// and return the Customer name.
```

```
String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    return custList.stream()  
        .search(c -> c.getID() == custID)  
        .getName();  
}
```

*Throws NullPointerException
if no Customer is found!*

```
// Instead, need to do...
```

```
String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    Customer cust = custList.stream()  
        .search(c -> c.getID() == custID);  
    return cust != null ? cust.getName() : "UNKNOWN";  
}
```

Rationale for Optional

Optional is intended to provide a *limited* mechanism for library method *return types* where there is a clear need to represent “no result,” and where using null for that is *overwhelmingly likely to cause errors*.

Revisiting Example, Using Optional

```
// Actual Streams API has findFirst() and findAny().
// Predicate should be passed through a filter() upstream.

String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {
    return custList.stream()
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)
        .findFirst()
        .getName();
}
```

Error: findFirst() returns an Optional<Customer>, but getName() needs a Customer.

Revisiting Example, Using Optional

```
String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    Optional<Customer> opt = custList.stream()  
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)  
        .findFirst();  
  
    return opt ??? getName();  
}
```

How do we get the Customer out of the Optional<Customer> to call getName() on it?

Revisiting Example, Using Optional

```
String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    Optional<Customer> opt = custList.stream()  
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)  
        .findFirst();  
  
    return opt.get().getName();  
}
```



*To get the value from
an Optional, call get()*

*But get() throws NoSuchElementException
if the Optional is empty.
Hardly an improvement!*


How To Use Optional



Safely Getting a Value from an Optional

```
// A couple methods on Optional<T>: isPresent() and get()

String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {
    Optional<Customer> opt = custList.stream()
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)
        .findFirst();
    return opt.isPresent() ? opt.get().getName() : "UNKNOWN";
}
```



*This is safe, but hardly any
better than checking for null!*

Safely Getting a Value from an Optional

```
// A couple methods on Optional<T>: isPresent() and get()

String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {
    Optional<Customer> opt = custList.stream()
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)
        .findFirst();
    return opt.isPresent() ? opt.get().getName() : "UNKNOWN";
}
```

Rule #2: Never use `Optional.get()` unless you can prove that the `Optional` is present.

Unfortunately, this just leads people into testing `isPresent()` before `get()`...

Safely Getting a Value from an Optional

```
// A couple methods on Optional<T>: isPresent() and get()

String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {
    Optional<Customer> opt = custList.stream()
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)
        .findFirst();
    return opt.isPresent() ? opt.get().getName() : "UNKNOWN";
}
```

Rule #2: Never use `Optional.get()` unless you can prove that the `Optional` is present.

Rule #3: Prefer alternatives to `Optional.isPresent()` and `Optional.get()`.

Example: orElse() Family

```
// orElse(default)
Optional<Data> opt = ...
Data data = opt.orElse(DEFAULT_DATA);
```

```
// orElseGet(supplier)
Optional<Data> opt = ...
Data data = opt.orElseGet(Data::new);
```

```
// orElseThrow(exsupplier)
Optional<Data> opt = ...
Data data = opt.orElseThrow(IllegalStateException::new);
```

*Returns the value if present,
or else a default value*

*Returns the value if present,
or else gets a default value by
calling a supplier*

*Returns the value if present,
or else throws an exception
obtained from a supplier*

Example: map()

```
String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    Optional<Customer> opt = custList.stream()  
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)  
        .findFirst();  
  
    return opt.isPresent() ? opt.get().getName() : "UNKNOWN";  
}
```

Example: map()

```
String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    Optional<Customer> opt = custList.stream()  
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)  
        .findFirst();  
  
    // return opt.isPresent() ? opt.get().getName() : "UNKNOWN";  
  
    return opt.map(Customer::getName).orElse("UNKNOWN");  
}
```

map() – If present, transforms or maps the value into another and returns the result in an Optional; otherwise returns an empty Optional.

Example: map()

```
String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    Optional<Customer> opt = custList.stream()  
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)  
        .findFirst();  
  
    // return opt.isPresent() ? opt.get().getName() : "UNKNOWN";  
  
    return opt.map(Customer::getName).orElse("UNKNOWN");  
}
```

orElse() can be chained directly off the result of the map() call to extract the value if present, or the default

Example: map()

```
String customerNameByID(List<Customer> custList, int custID) {  
    return custList.stream()  
        .filter(c -> c.getID() == custID)  
        .findFirst()  
        .map(Customer::getName)  
        .orElse("UNKNOWN");  
}
```

The map() and orElse() calls on Optional can be chained directly off the end of a stream pipeline.

Example: filter()

```
// (adapted with some liberties from OpenJDK Layer.java)
// Given a Configuration object, ensure that it has a parent Configuration
// that is the same as this Layer's Configuration.
```

```
Optional<Configuration> oparent = config.parent();
if (!oparent.isPresent() || oparent.get() != this.config()) {
    throw new IllegalArgumentException()
}
```

Example: filter()

```
// (adapted with some liberties from OpenJDK Layer.java)
// Given a Configuration object, ensure that it has a parent Configuration
// that is the same as this Layer's Configuration.
```

```
Optional<Configuration> oparent = config.parent();
if (!oparent.isPresent() || oparent.get() != this.config()) {
    throw new IllegalArgumentException()
}
```

```
config.parent()
    .filter(config -> config == this.config())
    .orElseThrow(IllegalArgumentException::new);
```

filter() – if absent, returns empty; if present, applies a predicate to the value, returning present if true or empty if false.

Example: ifPresent()

```
// Not to be confused with isPresent()!
```

```
// Another example from the JDK:
```

```
Optional<Task> oTask = getTask(...);  
if (oTask.isPresent()) {  
    executor.runTask(oTask.get());  
}
```

Note isPresent() and get() calls

Example: ifPresent()

```
// Not to be confused with isPresent()!
```

```
// Another example from the JDK:
```

```
Optional<Task> oTask = getTask(...);  
if (oTask.isPresent()) {  
    executor.runTask(oTask.get());  
}
```

```
// better:
```

```
getTask(...).ifPresent(task -> executor.runTask(task));
```

ifPresent() – if present, executes lambda (a Consumer) on the value, otherwise does nothing.

Example: ifPresent()

```
// Not to be confused with isPresent()!
```

```
// Another example from the JDK:
```

```
Optional<Task> oTask = getTask(...);  
if (oTask.isPresent()) {  
    executor.runTask(oTask.get());  
}
```

```
// better:
```

```
getTask(...).ifPresent(task -> executor.runTask(task));
```

```
// best:
```

```
getTask(...).ifPresent(executor::runTask);
```

Method references for the win!!

Additional Methods

- Static factory methods
 - `Optional.empty()` – returns an empty `Optional`
 - `Optional.of(T)` – returns a present `Optional` containing `T`
 - `T` must be non-null
- `flatMap(Function<T, Optional<U>>)`
 - like `map()` but transforms using a function returning `Optional`
- `Optional.equals()` and `hashCode()` – mostly as one would expect
- Technique: unit testing a method that returns `Optional`

```
assertEquals(Optional.of("expected value"), optionalReturningMethod());  
assertEquals(Optional.empty(), optionalReturningMethod());
```

Example: Stream of Optional

```
// Convert List<CustomerID> to List<Customer>, ignoring unknowns
```

```
// Java 8
```

```
List<Customer> list = custIDlist.stream()  
    .map(Customer::findById)  
    .filter(Optional::isPresent)  
    .map(Optional::get)  
    .collect(Collectors.toList());
```

*Assume findById() returns
Optional<Customer>*

Let only present Optionals through

Extract values from them

```
// Java 9 adds Optional.stream(), allowing filter/map to be fused into a flatMap:
```

```
List<Customer> list = custIDlist.stream()  
    .map(Customer::findById)  
    .flatMap(Optional::stream)  
    .collect(Collectors.toList());
```

*Optional.stream() allows filter() &
map() to be fused into flatMap()*

Example: Adapting Between Null and Optional

- Sometimes you need to adapt Optional-using code to code that wants null, or vice-versa
- If you have a nullable reference and you need an Optional
`Optional<T> opt = Optional.ofNullable(ref)`
- If you have an Optional and you need a nullable reference
`opt.orElse(null)`
 - Otherwise, generally avoid `orElse(null)`

Use, Abstruse Use, and Abuse

Method Chaining is Cool, But...

```
// BAD
```

```
String process(String s) {  
    return Optional.ofNullable(s).orElseGet(this::getDefault);  
}
```

```
// GOOD
```

```
String process(String s) {  
    return (s != null) ? s : getDefault();  
}
```

Rule #4: It's generally a bad idea to create an Optional for the specific purpose of chaining methods from it to get a value.

Avoiding If-Statements is Cool, But...

```
Optional<BigDecimal> first = getFirstValue();  
Optional<BigDecimal> second = getSecondValue();
```

```
// Add first and second, treating empty as zero, returning an Optional of the sum,  
// unless BOTH are empty, in which case return an empty Optional.
```

```
Optional<BigDecimal> result = ...
```

<http://stackoverflow.com/q/39498338/1441122>

Avoiding If-Statements is Cool, But...

```
Optional<BigDecimal> first = getFirstValue();  
Optional<BigDecimal> second = getSecondValue();
```

```
// Add first and second, treating empty as zero, returning an Optional of the sum,  
// unless BOTH are empty, in which case return an empty Optional.
```

```
Optional<BigDecimal> result =  
    Stream.of(first, second)  
        .filter(Optional::isPresent)  
        .map(Optional::get)  
        .reduce(BigDecimal::add);
```

*Clever, and allows any number
of Optionals to be combined.*

Avoiding If-Statements is Cool, But...

```
Optional<BigDecimal> first = getFirstValue();  
Optional<BigDecimal> second = getSecondValue();
```

```
// Add first and second, treating empty as zero, returning an Optional of the sum,  
// unless BOTH are empty, in which case return an empty Optional.
```

```
Optional<BigDecimal> result =  
    first.map(b -> second.map(b::add).orElse(b))  
        .map(Optional::of)  
        .orElse(second);
```

Even more clever!

Exercise: verify this is correct.

Avoiding If-Statements is Cool, But...

```
Optional<BigDecimal> first = getFirstValue();
Optional<BigDecimal> second = getSecondValue();

// Add first and second, treating empty as zero, returning an Optional of the sum,
// unless BOTH are empty, in which case return an empty Optional.
```

```
Optional<BigDecimal> result;

if (!first.isPresent() && !second.isPresent()) {
    result = Optional.empty();
} else {
    result = Optional.of(first.orElse(ZERO).add(second.orElse(ZERO)));
}
}
```

*Not the shortest,
or cleverest, but is
it the clearest?*

Rule #5: If an Optional chain is nested or has an intermediate result of Optional<Optional<T>>, it's probably too complex.

The Problem With Optional.get()

Brian Goetz' biggest Java 8 regret:

There is a `get()` method on `Optional`; we should have never called it `get()`. We should have called it `getOrThrowSomethingHorribleIfTheThingIsEmpty()` because everybody calls it thinking, "I am just supposed to call `Optional.get()`" and they don't realize that that it completely undermines the purpose of using `Optional`, because it is going to throw [an exception] if the `Optional` is empty.

On Stack Overflow, every second post that uses `Optional` misuses `Optional.get()`, and it's totally my fault, because I should have named it something much more horrible. In your IDE, the `get()` method pops up, and you say, "oh yeah, that's what I want" and if something with a scarier name popped up, it might make you think, "Which of these `get` methods do I want? Do I want the one that throws, or do I want the one that returns an alternative?"

JAX 2015 *Fragen und Antworten zu Java 8* with Angelika Langer, at 16:00.
<https://jaxenter.de/fragen-und-antworten-zu-java-8-qa-33108>

The Problem With Optional.get()

- The get() method is an “attractive nuisance”
 - it’s much less useful than its short name would indicate
 - easy to forget to guard it
 - easy to be misled into poor isPresent() / get() coding style
 - get() is misused in a significant fraction of cases => therefore it’s a bad API
- Plan
 - introduce replacement for get()
 - deprecate get()
 - not for removal
 - deprecation on hold because of warnings it introduces

Rule #2: Never use Optional.get() unless you can prove that the Optional is present.

Rule #3: Prefer alternatives APIs over Optional.isPresent() and Optional.get().

Places Not to Use Optional

- Avoid using Optional in fields
 - fill in replacement value at init time; use “null object” pattern; use actual null
- Avoid using Optional in method parameters
 - it doesn’t really work for making parameters optional
 - forces call sites to create Optionals for everything:

```
myMethod(Optional.of("some value"));  
myMethod(Optional.empty());
```
- Avoid using Optional in collections
 - usually indicates a design smell of sorts
 - often better ways of representing things

Rule #6: Avoid using Optional in fields, method parameters, and collections.

Places Not to Use Optional

- Remember, Optional is a box!
 - consumes 16 bytes
 - is a separate object (potentially adds GC pressure)
 - always requires a dependent load, leading to cache misses
 - a single Optional is OK, but if you litter your data structures with many Optional instances, it could easily turn into a performance problem
- Don't replace every null with an Optional
 - null can be safe, if it's well controlled
 - null in a private field can be easily checked
 - nullable parameters are ok (if declass )
 - library code should take responsibility for checking args

Bikeshedding



Bikeshedding

Optional should allow 'present' with a value of null!

Optional.ifPresent() should return 'this' instead of void, to enable chaining!

Flatmap() should allow nulls!

Optional doesn't prevent all NPEs, therefore it's useless!

Optional shouldn't be final!

Optional doesn't prevent all NPEs, therefore it's useless!

Null Optionals are allowed and are redundant with empty Optionals!

Optional should have Present and Empty subclasses!

Java should have added @Nullable / @NonNull instead of Optional!

Optional should be serializable!

Optional should be fully supported in the language, not just be a library construct!

Optional should implement Iterable so it can be used in a for-loop!

Java should have added null-safe dereference (Elvis) operator instead of Optional!



Why Isn't Optional Serializable?

- Background: Value types – Project Valhalla
 - an “object” that has no notion of identity
 - “codes like a class, works like an int”
 - we eventually want to convert Optional into a value type
- Disclaimer from Optional's javadoc:

This is a value-based class; use of identity-sensitive operations (including reference equality (==), identity hash code, or synchronization) on instances of Optional may have unpredictable results and should be avoided.

Rule #7: Avoid using identity-sensitive operations on Optionals.

Serialization Impact on Future Evolution

- JDK rule: *forward and backward* serialization compatibility across releases
- If Optional were serializable today, it would be serialized as an Object
 - it'll always be serialized as an Object, even if it eventually becomes a value type
- Serialization inherently depends on object identity
- Consequences of Optional being serializable
 - it might prevent it from being converted into a value type in the future
 - deserializing an Optional might result in a “boxed” value type

Why Not Use Optional in Fields?

- More a style issue than a correctness issue
 - usually there's a better way to model absence of a value
 - use of Optional in fields often arises from slavish desire to eliminate nullable fields
 - remember, eliminating nulls isn't a goal of Optional
- Using Optional in fields...
 - creates another object for every field
 - introduces a dependent load from memory on every field read
 - clutters up your code
 - to what benefit? ability to chain methods?

Why Not Use Optional in Fields?

- Colebourne: *Optional, A Pragmatic Approach*
 - <http://blog.joda.org/2015/08/java-se-8-optional-pragmatic-approach.html>
 - use nullable fields, getters should return Optional
- Ernst: *Nothing is Better Than the Optional Type*
 - <https://homes.cs.washington.edu/~mernst/advice/nothing-is-better-than-optional.html>
 - use Nullness Checker
 - glass is one-quarter full

Summary & Conclusion

New Optional Methods in Java 9

- `Stream<T> Optional.stream()`
 - returns a Stream of zero or one value depending on whether the Optional is absent or present
- `void Optional.ifPresentOrElse(Consumer<T>, Runnable)`
 - calls the consumer on the present value, or calls the runnable if the value is absent
- `Optional<T> Optional.or(Supplier<Optional<T>>)`
 - if 'this' optional is present, returns it
 - otherwise calls the supplier and returns the Optional it produces

Summary & Conclusion

Optional is intended to provide a *limited* mechanism for library method *return types* where there is a clear need to represent “no result,” and where using null for that is *overwhelmingly likely to cause errors*.

Summary & Conclusion

- ***Rule #1: Never, ever, use null for an Optional variable or return value.***
- ***Rule #2: Never use Optional.get() unless you can prove that the Optional is present.***
- ***Rule #3: Prefer alternatives to Optional.isPresent() and Optional.get().***
- ***Rule #4: It's generally a bad idea to create an Optional for the specific purpose of chaining methods from it to get a value.***
- ***Rule #5: If an Optional chain has a nested Optional chain, or has an intermediate result of Optional<Optional<T>>, it's probably too complex.***
- ***Rule #6: Avoid using Optional in fields, method parameters, and collections.***
- ***Rule #7: Avoid using identity-sensitive operations on Optionals.***

Safe Harbor Statement

The preceding is intended to outline our general product direction. It is intended for information purposes only, and may not be incorporated into any contract. It is not a commitment to deliver any material, code, or functionality, and should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions. The development, release, and timing of any features or functionality described for Oracle's products remains at the sole discretion of Oracle.

ORACLE®